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#### Abstract

We study algebraic systems $M_{\Gamma}$ of free semigroup structure, where $\Gamma$ is a well ordered finite alphabet, discovered in 1970s within the Theory of Electric Circuits by Miro Šare, and finding recent applications in Multivalued Logic, as well as in Computational Linguistics. We provide three simple axioms (reversion axiom (1) and two compression axioms (2) and (3)), which generate the corresponding equivalence relation between words. We also introduce a class of incompressible words, as well as the quotient Šare system $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}$. The main result is contained in Theorem 1 , announced by Sare without proof, which characterizes the equivalence of two words by means of Šare sums. The proof is constructive. We describe an algorithm for compression of words, study homomorphisms between quotient Šare systems for various alphabets $\Gamma$ (Theorem 4), and introduce two natural Šare categories $\check{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{a}(M)$ and $\check{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{a}(\widetilde{M})$. Quotient Šare systems are regular semigroups, but not inverse semigroups.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper we describe a class of algebraic systems $M_{\Gamma}$ (depending on a well ordered alphabet $\Gamma$ ), introduced in 1970s by Miro Sare
(1918-2005) in [6, 7]. Here are a few examples of well ordered alphabets stemming from Arithmetic, Linguistics, and Electrical Engineering: (a) $\Gamma=\{0,1, \ldots, 9\}$, (b) $\Gamma=$ the set of letters of the Latin alphabet, (c) $\Gamma=\{a, b, c\}$, with $a<b<c$, and $C=a a, R=b b$ and $L=c c$, where $C, R$, and $L$ stand for capacitance, resistance and inductance, respectively. For motivations originating from Electrical Engineering, and in particular, for compression axioms (2) and (3) provided below (relevant for Šare's algebraic system $M_{\Gamma}$ introduced in Definition 1), see the Introduction in [1]. This enables to qualitatively represent electrical networks using words with an even number of letters from $\Gamma=\{a, b, c\}$. Two letters are needed for the representation of $C, R$ and $L$ since each of the corresponding electrical elements (capacitor, resistor, and inductor) has two nodes. Applications in Computational Linguistics and in Multivalued Logic can be seen in the rest of the indicated paper.

The main result of this paper is stated in Theorem11. It characterizes the equivalence $a b c d \sim a d$ in terms of a suitable alternating sum introduced by Šare, which is easy to compute. Theorem 1 was announced by Miro Šare in [8, Theorem 1, case 1.9], but without proof. The proof provided here is constructive, in the sense that if the Sare sufficient condition in Theorem 1 is true, then we not only have equivalence, but we know which admissible substitutions (based on compression/decompression axioms (2) and (3) below) one has to apply in order to achieve it.

Theorem 1 enables us to characterize incompressible words in $M_{\Gamma}$; see Theorem 3 Incompresssibility of a word is defined in terms of minimizing its length among all the words equivalent to it; see Definition 5 . In Proposition 4 we show that the Šare quotient semigroups $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}$ do not belong to the class of inverse semigroups (a classical treatise on inverse semigroups is, e.g., [5]). We also provide a compression algorithm.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce basic definitions and establish some auxilliary results. In Section 3 we define the Šare sums and prove our main result stated in Theorem 1 . Section 4 discusses the problem of maximal compression of jorbs. In Section 5 we introduce four Sare categories. In the Appendix we complete the proof of Theorem 1 .

## 2 Basic definitions and auxilliary results

By a well ordered alphabet (also called alphabet, for short) we mean any well ordered nonempty set, denoted by $(\Gamma, \leq)$. The elements of $\Gamma$ are
called letters. For simplicity, we assume that the alphabet $\Gamma$ is finite.

Definition 1. By $M_{\Gamma}$, we denote the set of all words $w=\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{2 n}$, consisting of an even number of letters $\alpha_{k} \in \Gamma$ (in arbitrary order) from the alphabet $\Gamma$, where $k=1, \ldots, 2 n$.

Note that, according to this definition, the alphabet $\Gamma$ is clearly not contained in $M_{\Gamma}$, and moreover, these two sets are disjoint.

We introduce the usual binary operation of concatenation of words in $M_{\Gamma}$, which is obviously associative. We denote it by $x \cdot y$ or just by $x y$, for any two words $x$ and $y$ in $M_{\Gamma}$. Thus, $M_{\Gamma}$ becomes a free semigroup of rank $n$, where $n=|\Gamma|$. This semigroup (satisfying the accompanying axioms (1), (2) and (3) was introduced in this generality by Šare in (6) (in the context of the two-letter alphabet) already in 1970 in [7].

For any given letter $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and for any positive integer $k$, we define $\alpha^{k}$ as concatenation of $k$ copies of $\alpha$. The expression $\alpha^{k}$ can be contained in a larger word $w \in M_{\Gamma}$, so that $k$ does not have to be even. We can analogously define $w^{k}$ for any word $w \in M_{\Gamma}$. The length of any word $w=x_{1} \ldots x_{n} \in M_{\Gamma}$ (where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \Gamma$ ), denoted by $\ell(w)$, is $\ell(w)=n$.

We shall occasionally need the set words consisting of $k$ letters,

$$
\Gamma_{k}=\left\{\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{k}: \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k} \in \Gamma\right\}
$$

where $k$ is a positive integer, not necessarily even. Clearly,

$$
M_{\Gamma}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{2 k}
$$

It will be also useful to consider the semigroup of all words (not necessarily of even length): $W_{\Gamma}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{k}$. (The set $W_{\Gamma}$ is often denoted by $\Gamma^{+}$ in the literature.) Here, $M_{\Gamma} \subset W_{\Gamma}$, and moreover, $M_{\Gamma}$ is a subsemigroup of $W_{\Gamma}$ with respect to concatenation. Also, $M_{\Gamma}$ is a language over the prescribed alphabet $\Gamma$; see e.g. [2, 3, 4] for a more detailed information.

Any $k$-word $w_{k} \in \Gamma_{k}$ is said to be a subword of a given word $w \in M_{\Gamma}$, if either $w_{k}=w$, or $w$ can be obtained from $w_{k}$ by concatenating on the left of $w_{k}$, or on the right, or both. By saying that $x$ is a $k$-word, we mean that its length is any positive integer $k$, not necessarily even.

The elements of the set $\Gamma_{2}$ consisting of the product of any two letters are called the atoms (or generators) of the Sare system $M_{\Gamma}$. In other words, the set of atoms in $M_{\Gamma}$ is

$$
\Gamma_{2}=\left\{\alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}: \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j} \in \Gamma\right\}
$$

Each word $w \in M_{\Gamma}$ can be obtained as the product of atoms. However, the atoms in the decomposition are not uniquely determined by $w$. For example, in Figure 4, we have that $a a c b=c b b c$ in $M_{\{a, b, c\}}$.

We can concatenate $w_{1} \in \Gamma_{k}$ and $w_{2} \in \Gamma_{l}$, to obtain $w_{1} w_{2} \in \Gamma_{k+l}$. We can perform concatenation of consecutive subwords of a given word $w \in M_{\Gamma}$, and this operation is also associative. Words $w \in \Gamma_{k}$ for odd $k$ are called quarks. Letters from the alphabet $\Gamma$ are also quarks.

### 2.1 Reversion and (de)compression of words

It will be convenient to introduce the reversal of $k$-words, defined as the function $E: \Gamma_{k} \rightarrow \Gamma_{k}$ given by

$$
E\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \ldots \gamma_{k}\right)=\gamma_{k} \ldots \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}
$$

for any word $w=\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \ldots \gamma_{k} \in \Gamma_{k}$. We also write $\bar{w}:=E(w)$, for short. This operation can be in a natural way extended to reversion $E: M_{\Gamma} \rightarrow M_{\Gamma}$.

In the following definition, we introduce the notion of Šare's system $M_{\Gamma}$ (also called m-system, according to the original terminology by M. Sare ( $\int$ á:re). It first appeared in 1973 in his doctoral dissertation [6]. See also [8]. The crucial role is played by the notion of equivalence $\sim$ among words of even length, which Sare denoted as a mere equality, i.e. by $=$. We prefer to denote it here by $\sim$, for several obvious reasons. One of them is that the compression axiom $\alpha \beta \beta \gamma \sim \alpha \gamma$ appearing in Eq. (3) below, if written as $\alpha \beta \beta \gamma=\alpha \gamma$, would inevitebly imply that $\ell(\alpha \beta \beta \gamma)=\ell(\alpha \gamma)$, i.e. the contradiction $4=2$. The equivalence between words generates a relation of equivalence on the set $M_{\Gamma}$; see Definition 4 below.

Definition 2. Let $\Gamma$ be a well ordered alphabet. We say that $M_{\Gamma}$ is a Šare system generated by $\Gamma$ if it fulfills the following three properties (Šare's axioms) :
(a) For any letter $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and for any $k$-word $w \in \Gamma_{k}$, where $k$ is an even number, we have the following reversion property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma w \gamma \sim \gamma \bar{w} \gamma \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) For any two letters $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, the first compression law is true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \beta \alpha \sim \alpha \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we understand that the combination $\alpha \beta \alpha$ is a subword of a given word $w \in M_{\Gamma}$. (See also Remark 2 below.)



Figure 1: The product $\alpha \beta^{2} \gamma$, for $\beta$ between $\alpha$ and $\gamma$, is equivalent to $\alpha \gamma$. The figure is selfexplanatory, corresponding to cases of increasing and decreasing sequences $(\alpha, \beta, \beta, \gamma)$ in the product. See Eq. (3).
(c) For any ordered triple $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ of letters in $\Gamma$ (that is, such that either $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$ or $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma$; see Figure 11), the second compression law is true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \beta^{2} \gamma \sim \alpha \gamma \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we understand that the combination $\alpha \beta^{2} \gamma$ is a subword of (or equal to) a given word $w \in M_{\Gamma}$.

The following simple result shows that the relation $\sim$ behaves well with respect to the product of words.

Lemma 1. For any four words $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2} \in W_{\Gamma}$ such that $x x_{1}, y y_{1} \in$ $M_{\Gamma}$, we have that

$$
x_{1} \sim y_{1} \text { and } x_{2} \sim y_{2} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad x_{1} y_{1} \sim x_{2} y_{2} .
$$

Remark 1. In a concrete situation, compression property (2) can be used either in the sense of compression (i.e., $\alpha \beta \alpha \mapsto \alpha$ ), or in the sense of decompression (i.e., $\alpha \mapsto \alpha \beta \alpha)$. This should be clear from the context, and in both cases we most often say to have used compression property (2), for simplicity. For example, in $\alpha \beta \alpha \gamma \sim \alpha \gamma \sim \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma$, we have compressed $\alpha \beta \alpha$ to $\alpha$ in the first equivalence, and then decompressed $\gamma$ to $\gamma \alpha \gamma$ in the second, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$. Similarly for compression property (3). Also, remark that compression property (2) involves quarks.

Remark 2. Note that if $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then $\alpha \beta \alpha \notin M_{\Gamma}$, so that equivalence in (2) is not equivalence of words in $M_{\Gamma}$. For any letter $\varepsilon \in \Gamma$, the first compression property in (2) implies that $\varepsilon \alpha \beta \alpha \sim \varepsilon \alpha$, which is equivalence
of words in $M_{\Gamma}$. Properties (a), (b), and (c) are used within larger words in $M_{\Gamma}$. For example, for any three words $w_{1}, w, w_{2} \in M_{\Gamma}$, property (a) applies as follows: $w_{1} \gamma w \gamma w_{2} \sim w_{1} \gamma \bar{w} \gamma w_{2}$, and this is equivalence of words in $M_{\Gamma}$; etc. It is worth noticing that the expressions $\alpha \beta \alpha$ and $\alpha \beta^{2} \gamma$ appearing in (de) compression axioms (2) and (3) are invariant with respect to reversion.

The following useful result shows that if two words in $M_{\Gamma}$ are equivalent, then their initial letters must coincide, as well as their terminal letters.

Proposition 1. For any four letters $\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j} \in \Gamma$, where $j=1,2$, and for any $x, y \in M_{\Gamma}$, the following boundary property is true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1} x \beta_{1} \sim \alpha_{2} y \beta_{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2} \text { and } \beta_{1}=\beta_{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that the property stated in Eq. (4) is true for axioms (1), (2), and (3), appearing in Definition 2. Since each equivalence of words is obtained by consecutive use of these three axioms, the claim follows.

Definition 3. (Jorbs and jorbology) According to terminology introduced by M. Šare in [8, the elements (words) in $M_{\Gamma}$ are called jorbs (to be pronounced as yorbs). The set $M_{\Gamma}$ is a semigroup with respect to concatenation.

The product of any two quarks is clearly a jorb, while the product of any jorb and a quark (and vice versa) is a quark.

Remark 3. The notion of jorb, introduced in the above Definition 3, is obtained by reversion as $E(\mathrm{broj})=$ jorb. Here, broj - number (in Croatian), with $\Gamma$ taken as the usual Latin alphabet. For example,
$E($ number $)=$ rebmun. In this sense, we can speak about Šare's theory of jorbs, or of the jorbology (the analog of which in English would be 'rebmunology').

The following lemma is useful in immediate compression of the words. The last equivalence in (5) below, for $n=2$ shows that the product of any two elements of the alphabet (i.e., of any atom) is idempotent.

Lemma 2. For any two positive integers $k$ and $l$ and any two letters $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, we have that

$$
\alpha^{k} \beta \alpha^{l} \sim \alpha^{k+l-1}
$$

In particular, $\alpha^{2} \beta \alpha^{2} \sim \alpha^{3}$. For for any positive integer $n$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2 n} \sim \alpha^{2}, \quad \alpha^{2 n+1} \sim \alpha, \quad(\alpha \beta)^{n} \sim \alpha \beta . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The first claim follows from $\alpha^{k} \beta \alpha^{l} \sim \alpha^{k-1}(\alpha \beta \alpha) \alpha^{l-1} \sim \alpha^{k+l-1}$. (In case when $k=1$ or $l=1$, we agree that the corresponding term $\alpha^{0}$ is in fact absent.)

The second equivalence in (5) follows by letting $k=l=n$. The first equivalence follows by multiplying the second one by $\alpha$.

The last equivalence in (5) for $n=2$ follows by multiplying Eq. (2) by $\beta$ from the right. The case when $n \geq 2$ is obtained by mathematical induction.

The following lemma is a generalization of (de)compression property (3).
Lemma 3. Let a finite ordered sequence of letters $a \leq \gamma_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \gamma_{k} \leq b$ be given in $\Gamma$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \gamma_{1}^{2} \ldots \gamma_{k}^{2} b \sim a b \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same conclusion holds if we reverse the order of the sequence: $a \geq$ $\gamma_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_{k} \geq b$.

Proof. The proof follows by mathematical induction. For $k=1$, the claim is equivalent to axiom (3). Assume that the claim in the lemma is true for some positive integer $k$. Then for $\gamma_{k+1}$ such that $\gamma_{k} \leq \gamma_{k+1} \leq b$ we have that
$a \gamma_{1}^{2} \ldots \gamma_{k}^{2} \gamma_{k+1}^{2} b=\left(a \gamma_{1}^{2} \ldots \gamma_{k}^{2} \gamma_{k+1}\right) \gamma_{k+1} b \sim\left(a \gamma_{k+1}\right) \gamma_{k+1} b=a \gamma_{k+1}^{2} b \sim a b$,
where we have used the inductive hypotheses in the first equivalence, and compression axiom (3) in the second. Analogous proof can be performed in the case of reverse order of letters.



Figure 2: The quark $\alpha \beta \gamma$ appearing on the left, described in Lemma 4, is equivalent to $\alpha^{2} \gamma$. The quark $\gamma \beta \alpha$ on the right is equivalent to $\gamma \alpha^{2}$.

In the following lemma, we say that a letter $\alpha$ is between letters $\beta$ and $\gamma$ in $\Gamma$ if either $\beta \leq \alpha \leq \gamma$ or $\gamma \leq \alpha \leq \beta$. See Figure 2,

Lemma 4. Let three letters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ be given in a well ordered alphabet $\Gamma$ satisfying (de)compression axioms (2) and (3). If $\alpha$ is between $\beta$ and $\gamma$, then

$$
\alpha \beta \gamma \sim \alpha^{2} \gamma, \quad \gamma \beta \alpha \sim \gamma \alpha^{2}
$$

Proof. Decompressing by (3), and then compressing by (2), we have that

$$
\alpha \beta \gamma \sim \alpha\left(\beta \alpha^{2} \gamma\right)=(\alpha \beta \alpha) \alpha \gamma \sim \alpha^{2} \gamma
$$

The second equivalence is proved in a similar fashion.


Figure 3: Zizagging product $a\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_{j} \beta_{j}\right) b$, described in Proposition 2, is equivalent to $a b$. Horizontal axis indicates the order of letters in the product.

In Proposition 2 below, we compress the zizagging product in (7). See Figure 3

Proposition 2. (Zigzagging product) Let a finite ordered sequence of letters $a \leq \gamma_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \gamma_{k} \leq b$ be given in $\Gamma$. Assume that a set of letters $\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}\right\}$ is given such that $\beta_{j} \leq \gamma_{j}$ for each $j=1, \ldots, k$. Then (see Figure 3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_{j} \beta_{j}\right) b \sim a b \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

An analogous claim holds if we reverse all inequalities in the assumptions.
Proof. First remark that, since $\gamma_{j}$ is between $\beta_{j}$ and $\gamma_{j+1}$, then by Lemma 4. $\gamma_{j} \beta_{j} \gamma_{j+1} \sim \gamma_{j}^{2} \gamma_{j+1}$. We proceed by inducton with respect to $k$. From this we see by mathematical induction with respect to $k$ that $\prod_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_{j} \beta_{j} \sim \prod_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_{j}^{2}$. The claim follows by using Lemma 3

$$
a\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_{j} \beta_{j}\right) b \sim a\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_{j}^{2}\right) b \sim a b
$$

| $\cdot$ | $a a$ | $a b$ | $b a$ | $b b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a a$ | $a a$ | $a b$ | $a a$ | $a a b b$ |
| $a b$ | $a a$ | $a b$ | $a b b a$ | $a b$ |
| $b a$ | $b a$ | $b a a b$ | $b a$ | $b b$ |
| $b b$ | $b b a a$ | $b b$ | $b a$ | $b b$ |

Figure 4: Multiplication table of the atoms (modulo equivalence $\sim$ ) of the Sare system $M_{\{a, b\}}$. The set of atoms $\Gamma_{2}$ is not a grupoid for $\Gamma=\{a, b\}$. Among 16 products, four them are incompressible.

| $\cdot$ | $a a$ | $a b$ | $a c$ | $b a$ | $b b$ | $b c$ | $c a$ | $c b$ | $c c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a a$ | $a a$ | $a b$ | $a c$ | $a a$ | $a a b b$ | $a a b c$ | $a a$ | $a a c b$ | $a a c c$ |
| $a b$ | $a a$ | $a b$ | $a c$ | $a b b a$ | $a b$ | $a c$ | $a b c a$ | $a b$ | $a b c c$ |
| $a c$ | $a a$ | $a b$ | $a c$ | $a c b a$ | $a b$ | $a c$ | $a c c a$ | $a c c b$ | $a c$ |
| $b a$ | $b a$ | $b a a b$ | $b a a c$ | $b a$ | $b b$ | $b c$ | $b a$ | $b b$ | $b a c c$ |
| $b b$ | $b b a a$ | $b b$ | $b c$ | $b a$ | $b b$ | $b c$ | $b a$ | $b b$ | $b b c c$ |
| $b c$ | $b c a a$ | $b b$ | $b c$ | $b a$ | $b b$ | $b c$ | $b c c a$ | $b c c b$ | $b c$ |
| $c a$ | $c a$ | $c a a b$ | $c a a c$ | $c a$ | $c b$ | $c a b c$ | $c a$ | $c b$ | $c c$ |
| $c b$ | $c b a a$ | $c b$ | $c c$ | $c a$ | $c b$ | $c b b c$ | $c a$ | $c b$ | $c c$ |
| $c c$ | $c c a a$ | $c c a b$ | $c c$ | $c c b a$ | $c c b b$ | $c c$ | $c a$ | $c b$ | $c c$ |

Figure 5: Multiplication table of the atoms (modulo $\sim$ ) of the Šare system $M_{\{a, b, c\}}$. Note, for example, that $a a c b=a(a c b) \sim a a b b, c a b c=$ $(c a b) c \sim c b b c$. Among 81 products, 27 of them are incompressible.

Since the multiplication of jorbs in Šare system $M_{\Gamma}$, generated by a given well ordered alphabet $\Gamma$ reduces to multiplication of its atoms, it is meaningful to have in mind the corresponding multiplication table of the atoms. Taking into account the axioms of reversion (1) and compression (2) and (3), we see that that the product of two atoms in $M_{\Gamma}$ does not have to be an atom already in the case of the well ordered alphabet consisting of two letters, $\Gamma=\{a, b\}$; see Figure 4. Indeed, we have that the products are maximally compressed (here, as well as in the following table, reversion axiom (1) is not needed). In other words, the set of atoms is not even a groupoid under the multiplication.

In the case of the three element well ordered alphabet $\Gamma=\{a, b, c\}$, we have the multiplication table of 9 corresponding atoms shown in Figure 5 Here, we also needed just the last two of the Šare axioms (i.e., compression axioms (2) and (3)) for the associated system $M_{\Gamma}$ are used.

For example, $a c b a \sim a b b a$, using Lemma 4 Similaraly, $a b c a \sim a b b a$, etc. It is clear that the multiplication of atoms (and more generally, of jorbs) is associative, since the multiplication of letters in the alphabet is associative as well.

Remark 4. Note that the multiplication table of the atoms in the Šare system $M_{\{a, b\}}$ is embedded into the one corresponding to $M_{\{a, b, c\}}$; see Figures 4 and 5. Also remark that $M_{\{a, b\}}$ can be identified with $M_{\{a, c\}}$, since these two subsemigroups of $M_{\{a, b, c\}}$ are clearly isomorphic. More generally, for any two alphabets $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$, such that $\left|\Gamma_{1}\right|<\left|\Gamma_{2}\right|$, it is clear that the Šare semigroup $M_{\Gamma_{1}}$ is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of $M_{\Gamma_{2}}$, i.e., $M_{\Gamma_{1}}$ can be embedded into $M_{\Gamma_{2}}$.

Remark 5. (Complete noncommutativity; open problem) From the multiplication tables shown in Figures 4 and 5, we notice that corresponding S̆are systems $M_{\Gamma}$ are completely noncomutative in the following sense: for any two atoms $x, y \in M_{\Gamma}$, such that $x \neq y$, we have that $x y \neq y x$. It would be interesting to know if analogous property holds for any well ordered finite alphabet $\Gamma$.

### 2.2 Valuation and distance of letters of an alphabet

By a valuation of letters in an alphabet $\Gamma$ we mean any integer-valued function $v: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ which is increasing, and the absolute value of the difference of valuations of any two consecutive letters in the alphabet is equal to 1 . It is clear that a valuation $v$ of a given alphabet $\Gamma$ is determined uniquely up to an additive integer constant.

For example, the letters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots$ of the Greek alphabet $\Gamma$ can be valuated with $1,2,3, \ldots$ (but also with $0,1,2, \ldots$ ). The alphabet $\Gamma=\{0,1, \ldots, 9\}$ can be valuated by the values of the alphabet itself (but also with values translated by 1 , i.e., with $1,2, \ldots, 10$ ).

For a given alphabet $\Gamma$, we define the distance $\delta(a, b)$ between any two letters $a, b \in \Gamma$, by

$$
\delta(a, b)=|v(a)-v(b)| .
$$

It is clear that the distance does not depend on the choice of the valuation of the alphabet $\Gamma$. Also, $0 \leq \delta(a, b) \leq|\Gamma|-1$, and the bounds for $\delta(a, b)$ are optimal. Furthermore, $\delta(a, b)$ can achieve all of the values in the set $[0,|\Gamma|-1] \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

The distance $\delta$ is clearly a metric on the alphabet $\Gamma$. It is obvious that in the triangle inequality, we have equality (that is, $\delta(a, c)=\delta(a, b)+\delta(b, c)$ )
if and only if the value of $v(b)$ is between the values of $v(a)$ and $v(c)$ (by this we mean that $v(b) \in[v(a), v(c)]$ if $v(a) \leq v(b)$, or $v(b) \in[v(c), v(a)]$ if $v(c) \leq v(a))$.

Remark 6. It is sometimes convenient to identify the letters of an alphabet $\Gamma$ with the set of its valuations, so that $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}$. For example, $n$ is the cardinality of $\Gamma$, then we can write $\Gamma=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. This will be done in the proof of Theorem 1 below.

## 3 Šare's sum of jorbs

To any four-letter subjorb $a b c d$ of a given jorb $w \in M_{\Gamma}$ we associate the following important numerical expression $\lambda(a b c d)$, that we call Šare's sum of abcd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(a b c d):=\delta(a, b)-\delta(b, c)+\delta(c, d) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next theorem shows (somewhat surprisingly) that it is possible to characterize equivalence $a b c d \sim a b$ by means of the indicated alternating sum of distances appearing in (8). This result was first published in [8, Theorem 1, case 1.9, p. 19], but without the proof.

Theorem 1. (Šare, see [8, Theorem 1, case 1.9]) Assume that compression properties (2) and (3) hold in $M_{\Gamma}$. Then, for any four letters $a, b, c, d \in \Gamma$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(a, b)-\delta(b, c)+\delta(c, d)=\delta(a, d) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad a b c d \sim a d \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We postpone the proof of this theorem until after Lemma 6 below. It will be convenient to extend the definition of Šare's sum $\lambda$ to any jorb $a_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots, a_{k} \in M_{\Gamma}$, where $a_{j} \in \Gamma$ for all $j=1,2, \ldots, k$ (recall that $k$ is even):
$\lambda\left(a_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots a_{k}\right):=\delta\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)-\delta\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right)+\cdots+\delta\left(a_{k-2}, a_{k-1}\right)-\delta\left(a_{k-1}, a_{k}\right)$.
The alternating sum on the right-hand side of 10 has $k-1$ (i.e., odd number of) terms. Note also that $\lambda\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)=\delta\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$. Thus, Eq. (10) defines the function

$$
\lambda: M_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad \lambda\left(a_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots a_{k}\right):=\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{j-1} \delta\left(a_{j}, a_{j+1}\right)
$$

Šare's sum $\lambda$ for any jorb in $M_{\Gamma}$ was introduced in [8, Definition 11 on p. 23], but with the opposite signs on the right-hand side of (10) then
we have here. Of course, this slight change is inessential.
The following lemma shows that applying axioms (3), (22) and (1) to a jorb, does not change the Šare sum of the jorb.

Lemma 5. Let an arbitrary 4-word abcd $\in M_{\Gamma}$ be given, where $a, b, c, d \in$ $\Gamma$. Then we have the following:
(i) if $b=c$ and $a \leq b \leq d$, then $\lambda(a b c d)=\delta(a, d)$;
(ii) if $a=c$, then $\lambda(a b c d)=\delta(a, d)$; the same for $b=d$;
(iii) if $w \in M_{\Gamma}$ is any word, then $\lambda(a w a)=\lambda(a \bar{w} a)$. (Furthermore, $\lambda(w)=\lambda(\bar{w})$.

Proof. The proof follows easily by direct inspection.
(i) If $b=c$ and $a \leq b \leq d$, then
$\lambda(a b c d)=\lambda(a b b d)=\delta(a, b)-\delta(b, b)+\delta(b, d)=\delta(a, b)+\delta(b, d)=\delta(a, d)$.
(ii) If $a=c$, then $a b c d=a b a d$, so that

$$
\lambda(a b c d)=\lambda(a b a d)=\delta(a, b)-\delta(b, a)+\delta(a, d)=\delta(a, d)
$$

the same for $b=d$.
(iii) If $w=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{k-1} \alpha_{k} \in M_{\Gamma}$ is any word (with $k$ even), then $\lambda(a w a)=\delta\left(a, \alpha_{1}\right)-\delta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)+\cdots-\delta\left(a_{k-1}, \alpha_{k}\right)+\delta\left(\alpha_{k}, a\right)$. This expression is clearly equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda(a \bar{w} a) & =\lambda\left(a \alpha_{k} \alpha_{k-1} \ldots \alpha_{2} \alpha_{1} a\right) \\
& =\delta\left(a, \alpha_{k}\right)-\delta\left(\alpha_{k}, \alpha_{k-1}\right)+\cdots-\delta\left(a_{2}, \alpha_{1}\right)+\delta\left(\alpha_{1}, a\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The following lemma follows easily from the above Lemma 5.
Lemma 6. For any two jorbs $x, y \in M_{\Gamma}$, if $x \sim y$ then $\lambda(x)=\lambda(y)$.
Proof. It suffices to note that $y$ is obtained from $x$ using axiom of reversion (1), and axioms of (de)compression (22) and (3) finitely many times. The claim follows from Lemma 2 ,

Our proof of the sufficiency part of Theorem 1, provided below in part (a), is constructive. More precisely, the construction of the desired sequence of equivalence $a b \sim \cdots \sim a b c d$ (or vice versa), using axioms of (de)compression (2) and (3) only, is in fact an algorithm consisting of 24 cases.

Proof of Theorem 1. Part (a). We indicate the proof of the sufficiency part, i.e., of implication $\Rightarrow$. Assume without loss of generality (see Remark 6) that $\Gamma=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, where $n=|\Gamma|$.

For a given ordered quadruple $(a, b, c, d)$ of integers in $\Gamma$, we have $4!=24$ possible orders indicated by the associated permutations, so that we have to consider the corresponding 24 cases.

Case 1. Assume that $a \leq b \leq c \leq d$. Then, the condition $\delta(a, b)-$ $\delta(b, c)+\delta(c, d)=\delta(a, d)$ reads as $(b-a)-(c-b)+(d-c)=d-a$, so that $b=c$. Therefore, $a b c d \sim a b^{2} d \sim a d$ due to the compression property (3). Recall that, here, $b^{2}=b b$ is taken in the sense of concatenation.

Case 2. If $b \leq a \leq c \leq d$, then condition $\delta(a, b)-\delta(b, c)+\delta(c, d)=\delta(a, d)$ reads as $(a-b)-(c-b)+(d-c)=d-a$, i.e., $a=c$. Hence, from compression property $(2)$ we conclude that $a b c d \sim a b a d \sim a d$.

Case 3. Assume that $a \leq c \leq b \leq d$. Then, the condition $\delta(a, b)-$ $\delta(b, c)+\delta(c, d)=\delta(a, d)$ reads as $(b-a)-(b-c)+(d-c)=d-a$, which is always fulfilled. Thus, using the compression properties (2) and (3), we have that

$$
a d \sim \operatorname{abad} \sim a b a c c d \sim a b c c a c c d \sim a b c c c d \sim a b c d
$$

Case 4. If $d \leq c \leq b \leq a$, then condition $\delta(a, b)-\delta(b, c)+\delta(c, d)=\delta(a, d)$ reads as $(a-b)-(b-c)+(c-d)=a-d$, i.e., $b=c$, and hence, we have an analogous situation to Case 1.

The remaining 20 cases are treated analogously. See the Appendix near the end of this paper.

Part $(b)$. In order to prove the converse implication (i.e., of $\Leftarrow$ ), assume that $a b c d \sim a b$. This means that, if we start with $a b$, then the expression $a b c d$ is obtained from $a b$ by applying axioms of reversion (1) and compressions $\sqrt{22}$ and (3) finitely many times. The inital value of $\lambda(a d)=\delta(a, d)$ is not changed after any such step, in light of Lemma 6. This completes the proof of part (b), as well as the proof of the theorem.

Now we describe a suitable algorithm for compressing any given jorb, by means of its pseudocode. Let $\omega \in M_{\Gamma}, \ell(\omega)$ - length of a jorb, $w[i]$ letter at position $i$ in $\omega, \omega[i: j]$ - slice, subjorb of $\omega$ from position $i$ to $j$, $v()$ - valuation function, reverse $(\omega)$ - reversing string $\omega, \omega \operatorname{insert}\left(i, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ - function for insertion of subjorb $\omega^{\prime}$ at $i$-position of $\omega$, append $\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$ appending subjorb into the list.

Corollary 1. For any four letters $a, b, c, d \in \Gamma$ we have that

$$
a b c d \sim a d \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad d c b a \sim d a .
$$

Proof. If $a b c d \sim a d$, then by Theorem 1 ,

$$
\delta(a, b)-\delta(b, c)+\delta(c, d)=\delta(a, d)
$$

Writing this equality as

$$
\delta(d, c)-\delta(c, b)+\delta(b, a)=\delta(d, a)
$$

then again by Theorem 1 it follows that $d c b a \sim d a$. The converse implication follows along the same lines.

Remark 7. Note that, if $a b c a \sim a^{2}$ for some three letters $a, b, c \in \Gamma$, then by Corollary 1 we have that abca $\sim$ acba. But from reversion axiom (1) we know that abca $\sim$ acba without any additional condition on the expression abca.

Thanks to Theorem 1, we can derive the following consequences.
Corollary 2. Assume that a finite sequence of ordered quadruples of letters

$$
\left(a_{j}, b_{j}, c_{j}, d_{j}\right) \in \Gamma^{4}, \quad j=1, \ldots, k
$$

is given, such that $\lambda\left(a_{j} b_{j} c_{j} d_{j}\right)=\delta\left(a_{j}, d_{j}\right)$ for each $j$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=1}^{k} a_{j} b_{j} c_{j} d_{j} \sim \prod_{j=1}^{k} a_{j} d_{j} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if the following zigzagging condition is satisfied, $a_{1} \leq d_{1} \leq$ $d_{2} \leq \cdots \leq d_{k-1} \leq d_{k}$ and $a_{j+1} \leq d_{j}$ for all $j=1, \ldots, k-1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=1}^{k} a_{j} b_{j} c_{j} d_{j} \sim a_{1} d_{k} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogous claim holds also if we reverse all inequalities in the assumptions preceding Eq. 12).

Proof. The first claim follows immediately from Theorem 1. The second claim follows from the first one, by rewriting the product appearing on the right-hand side of (11) and then making use of Proposition 2 :

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{k} a_{j} d_{j}=a_{1}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} d_{j} a_{j+1}\right) d_{k} \sim a_{1} d_{k}
$$

In the following result we find the minimum and maximum of the set $\lambda\left(\Gamma_{k}\right):=\left\{\lambda(w): w \in \Gamma_{k}\right\}$, where $k$ is even.

Proposition 3. Let $k$ be any even positive integer. Then

$$
\min \lambda\left(\Gamma_{k}\right)=-(n-1)\left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right), \quad \max \lambda\left(\Gamma_{k}\right)=(n-1) \frac{k}{2}
$$

Furthermore, the minimum and maximum are both achieved on precisely two different jorbs from $\Gamma_{k}$, that are provided in the proof.

Proof. Let $\alpha:=\min \Gamma$ and $\omega:=\max \Gamma$, i.e., $\alpha$ and $\omega$ are the first and last letters of alphabet $\Gamma$, respectively. Let us define the folowing four atoms:

$$
A=\alpha \alpha, \quad B=\omega \omega, \quad C=\alpha \omega, \quad D=\omega \alpha
$$

Then let us define an element of $\Gamma_{k}$ of the form $w_{\min }^{\prime}:=A B A B \ldots$, where $A$ and $B$ appear alternately, and the last atom in $w_{1}^{\prime}$ is $A$ or $B$, depending on whether $k / 2$ is odd or even, respectively. Since $\delta(\alpha, \omega)=$ $n-1$, and this is the maximal possible distance of any two letters in $\Gamma$, we see that the value

$$
\lambda\left(w_{\min }^{\prime}\right)=\lambda(\alpha \alpha \omega \omega \alpha \alpha \omega \omega \ldots)=-\left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right) \delta(\alpha, \omega)=-\left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right)(n-1)
$$

is the smallest possible. The same minimal value is obtained for $w_{m i n}^{\prime \prime}:=$ $B A B A \ldots$ It is easy to see that $w_{\text {min }}^{\prime}$ and $w_{\text {min }}^{\prime \prime}$ are the only minima of $\lambda \mid{ }_{\Gamma_{k}}$.

Analogously, $w_{\max }^{\prime}:=C D C D \ldots$ and $w_{\max }^{\prime \prime}:=D C D C \ldots$ are the two unique points of maxima of $\left.\lambda\right|_{\Gamma_{k}}$.

Remark 8. If the product of two atoms $A=a b$ and $B=c d$ has nontrivial compression (i.e., it is equivalent to an atom in this case), then we must have that $A B \sim a d$, in light of Proposition 1. So, due to Theorem 1, the number of (nontrivially) compressible words in $\Gamma_{4}$ is equal to the number of solutions of equation $\lambda(a b c d)=\delta(a d)$.

## 4 Incompressible jorbs

In the following two definitions, we introduce the notion of equivalence among jorbs in $M_{\Gamma}$, and the notion of incompressible jorbs.

Definition 4. Two jorbs $w_{1}, w_{2} \in M_{\Gamma}$ are said to be equivalent, denoted by $w_{1} \sim w_{2}$, if $w_{2}$ can be obtained from $w_{1}$ by consecutive use of the axiom of reversion (1) and of the two axioms of compression (2) and (3). This relation is clearly a relation of equivalence on the Šare system $M_{\Gamma}$. For each jorb $w \in M_{\Gamma}$, we denote by

$$
[w]=\left\{w^{\prime} \in M_{\Gamma}: w^{\prime} \sim w\right\}
$$

the corresponding equivalence class.
It is natural to define the quotient Šare system $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}$ as

$$
\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}=M_{\Gamma} / \sim=\left\{[w]: w \in M_{\Gamma}\right\}
$$

It is also a semigroup, with respect to multiplication of classes defined via concatenation of their representatives: $[x][y]=[x y]$, for any $[x],[y] \in \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}$. This multiplication is well defined, since it does not depend on the choice of representatives. Indeed, if $x \sim x_{1}$ and $y \sim y_{1}$, then clearly $x y \sim x_{1} y_{1}$. In other words, $[x]=\left[x_{1}\right]$ and $[y]=\left[y_{1}\right]$ imply that $[x y]=\left[x_{1} y_{1}\right]$. Note also that if $x$ is a quark, then $[x]$ is not well defined.

In the following proposition we show that each element of the form $[a b] \in$ $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}$, generated by an atom $a b \in \Gamma_{2}$, is regular (that is, for each $[a b] \in \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}$ there exists $[x] \in \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}$ such that $\left.[a b]=[a b][x][a b]\right)$. The definition of regular elements and regular semigroups can be seen in [2, p. 44] or in [5] I.7.1 Definition on p. 33]. The concept of regularity was introduced by John von Neumann (1936) in ring theory. The proposition also shows that Šare quotient semigroups are neither regular nor inverse semigroups, when $|\Gamma| \geq 2$. The definition of general inverse semigroup can be seen e.g. in [5, II.1.1 Definition on p. 71].

Proposition 4. Šare quotient systems $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}$ are generated by idempotents. All elements $[a b] \in \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}$ generated by the atoms $a b \in \Gamma_{2}$ are regular. The elements of the form $[a a b b] \in \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}$ are neither idempotent nor regular for $a \neq b$ in $\Gamma$. Furthermore, Šare systems are neither regular nor inverse semigroups for $|\Gamma| \geq 2$.

Proof. To prove that elements of the form $[a b]$ are idempotents, note that the last equivalence in Eq. (5) implies that $[a b]^{n}=[a b]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $[a b]^{3}=[a b]$, so that $[a b]$ is regular with $[x]=[a b]$. The idempotency $[a a b b]$ for $a \neq b$ follows from Theorem 2 below.

If $a, b, c, d \in \Gamma$ are such that $\lambda(a b c d)=\delta(a b)$, then according to Theorem 1 we have that $a b c d \sim a d$. In particular, $[a b][c d]=[a b c d]=[a d]$, and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[a b][c d][a b]=[a d][a b]=[a d a b]=[a b] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the last equality we have used compression axiom (2). On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[c d][a b][c d]=[c d][a b c d]=[c d][a d]=[c d a d]=[c d] . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we can see, if the alphabet $\Gamma$ contains at least two elements, then for any given element $[a b] \in \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}$, we have multiple solutions $[c d] \in \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}$ satisfying simultaneously equations $[a b][c d][a b]=[a b]$ and $[c d][a b][c d]=[c d]$ appearing in 13 and 14 . This follows immediately from the proof of Theorem 1, see also the Appendix. Consequently, the Šare quotient semigroup is not an inverse semigroup.

Alternative (and more direct) proof. Let $a$ and $b$ be any two different letters from the alphabet $\Gamma$, and let us define $x=[a a] \in \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}$. Then the following system with $y \in \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}$ as an unknown,

$$
x y x=x \quad \text { and } \quad y x y=y
$$

appearing in the definition of general inverse semigroup, possesses two obvious different solutions $y=[a a]$ and $y=[a b]$. Indeed, for $y=[a a]$ we have that $x y x=y x y=[a a]^{3}=\left[(a a)^{3}\right]=[a a]=x=y$, where we have used the last equivalence in Eq. (5) of Lemma 2 If $y=[a b]$, then the compression axiom (2) implies that $x y x=[a a a b a a]=[a a(a b a) a]=$ $\left[a^{3} a\right]=[a a]=x$, and $y x y=[a b a a a b]=\left[(a b a) a^{2} b\right]=\left[a^{3} b\right]=[a b]=y$. Consequently, $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}$ is not an inverse semigroup.

It is of obvious interest to find the 'best' representative of any given class $[w] \in \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}$, in the sense of minimizing the length representatives, i.e., of $\ell(w)$. For this reason, we now pass to the definition of incompressible jorbs. (Šare uses the term 'canonical jorb' instead; see [7] Definition 019 on p. 6].)

Definition 5. We say that a jorb $w \in M_{\Gamma}$ is incompressible, if its length $\ell(w)$ is minimal in $[w]$, that is,

$$
\ell(w)=\min \left\{\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right): w^{\prime} \sim w\right\}
$$

It is interesting to note that in the case of the alphabet $\Gamma=\{a, b\}$ consisting of two letters only, the incompressible jorb $w_{z i p}$ equivalent to a given jorb $w \in M_{\Gamma}$ is uniquely determined by $w$; see [7, Theorem 05 on p. 6]. According to [7, Theorem 04 on p. 6], the set of all incompressible words $w$ of the Šare system $M_{\{a, b\}}$ can be characterized as follows:
(i) either $w$ is an atom (that is, equal to $a a, a b, b a$, or $b b$ ), or
(ii) any two consecutive atoms appearing in $w$ are mutually dual (the dual of $a a$ is $b b$, and vice versa, while the dual of $a b$ is $b a$, and vice versa). (See also Figure 4.)

An immediate consequence of this result is the following theorem.
Theorem 2. Let $\Gamma=\{a, b\}$. For any even positive integer $k$, we have precisely four incompressible yorbs in $\Gamma_{k}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
w_{1 k}=a a b b a a b b \cdots \in \Gamma_{k}, & w_{2 k}=a b b a a b b a \cdots \in \Gamma_{k}, \\
w_{3 k}=b a a b b a a b \cdots \in \Gamma_{k}, & w_{4 k}=b b a a b b a a \cdots \in \Gamma_{k} .
\end{array}
$$

Equivalently, the set of incompressible jorbs in the Šare system $M_{\{a, b\}}$ is equal $\left\{w_{i k}: k \in \mathbb{N}, i=1,2,3,4\right\}$. All these jorbs are mutually nonequivalent, that is, $\left[w_{i k}\right] \cap\left[w_{j l}\right]=\emptyset$, whenever $(i, k) \neq(j, l)$. In particular, the corresponding quotient Šare system is equal to $\widetilde{M}_{\{a, b\}}=\left\{\left[w_{i k}\right]: k \in\right.$ $\mathbb{N}, i=1,2,3,4\}$.

Remark 9. (Open problem) In the notation of the above Theorem 2 , we see that $\left[w_{i k}\right]\left[w_{j l}\right]=\left[w_{m n}\right]$, for some $m$ and $n$ depending on $i, k, j, l$. It is clear that

$$
\left[w_{i k}\right]^{2}= \begin{cases}{\left[w_{i, 2 k}\right] \quad \text { if } k / 2 \text { is even }} \\ {\left[w_{i, 2 k-2}\right]} & \text { if } k / 2 \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

When $i \neq j$, we have massive cancellations. For example, $\left[w_{14}\right]\left[w_{24}\right]=$ [ $w_{12}$ ]. It would be of interest to find explicit expressions of the functions $m=m(i, k, j, l)$ and $m=m(i, k, j, l)$.

Remark 10. (Open problem) Assume that $|\Gamma|=n$. In the multiplication table of $n^{2}$ atoms in $\Gamma_{2}$, there are $n^{4}$ products. What is the number $a(n)$ of irreducable products? According to Figures 4 and5, we have that $a(2)=4$ and $a(3)=27$.

In the sequel, it will be convenient to introduce the following notation.
Definition 6. For any jorb $w \in M_{\Gamma}$, we let $\partial_{-}(w)$ and $\partial_{+}(w)$ be the first and the last letter of $w$, respectively. The resulting functions $\partial_{ \pm}$: $M_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma$ are called left and right boundary functions or Sare's boundary functions.

We provide a pseudocode of an algorithm for compressing a given jorb $\omega \in M_{\Gamma}$ of arbitrary length. If $n$ is the length of $\omega$, that is, $\ell(\omega)=n$, then the complexity of this algorithm is of order $O(n)$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. This means that it is tractable.

Algorithm $1 \operatorname{RVS}(\omega)$ - reversing, axiom (1); $\operatorname{ZIP} 3(\omega)$ - the first compression low, axiom (2); $\operatorname{ZIP} 4(\omega)$ - the second compression low, axiom (3); $\operatorname{EXT}(\omega)$ - the jorb's expansions, Eq. (6)

```
Input for all functions jorb \(\omega\)
Output list of jorbs for rvs() function, transformed jorb \(\omega\) for all other
functions
\(\operatorname{RVS}(\omega)\) :
rev_list \(\leftarrow[]\)
for \(i=1\) to \(\ell(\omega)-1\) do
    for \(j=\ell(\omega)-1\) to \(i+1\) step -1 do
        if \((j-i\) is even \()\) and \((\omega[i]=\omega[j])\) and \((\omega[i+1: j] \neq \omega[j: i]\) then \()\)
                rev_list \(\leftarrow\) append \((\omega[0: i]+\operatorname{reverse}(\omega[i: j])+\omega[j: \ell(\omega)])\)
    return rev_list
    ZIP3( \(\omega\) ):
    \(i \leftarrow 0\)
    while \(i<\ell(\omega)-2\) do
        if \(\omega[i]=\omega[i+2]\) then
            \(\omega=\omega[0: i]+\omega[i+2: \ell(\omega)]\)
        else
            \(i \leftarrow i+1\)
    return \(\omega\)
    ZIP4( \(\omega\) ):
    \(i \leftarrow 0\)
    while \(i<\ell(\omega)-3\) do
    if \(\delta(\omega[i], \omega[i+1])-\delta(\omega[i+1], \omega[i+2])+\delta(\omega[i+2], \omega[i+3])=\delta(\omega[i], \omega[i+\)
3]) then
        \(\omega=\omega[0: i+1]+\omega[i+3: \ell(\omega)]\)
        \(i \leftarrow i-1\)
    \(i \leftarrow i+1\)
    return \(\omega\)
    \(\operatorname{EXT}(\omega)\) :
    for \(i=1\) to \(l(\omega-1)\) do
    \(a \leftarrow v(\omega[i]) ; \quad b \leftarrow v(\omega[i+1])\)
    if \(a+1<b\) then
        \(k \leftarrow 1\)
        for \(j=a+1\) to \(b\) do
            \(\omega \cdot \operatorname{insert}(i+k, \Gamma[j]+\Gamma[j])\)
            \(k \leftarrow \mathrm{k}+1\)
            \(i \leftarrow \mathrm{i}+1\)
    if \(a>b+1\) then
        \(k \leftarrow 1\)
        for \(j=b-1\) to \(a\) step -1 do
            \(\omega\).insert \((i+k, \Gamma[j]+\Gamma[j])\)
            \(k \leftarrow \mathrm{k}+1\)
            \(i \leftarrow \mathrm{i}+1\)
    return \(\omega\)
```

Here is the main progam.

```
Algorithm 2 Compress( \(\omega\) ) MAIN PROGRAM
    while True do
        \(\omega^{\prime} \leftarrow z \operatorname{ip} 3(z i p 4(\operatorname{ext}(\omega)))\)
        \(\omega^{\prime \prime}\) _list \(\leftarrow \operatorname{rvs}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)\)
        smaller \(\leftarrow\) False
        for \(\omega^{\prime \prime}\) in \(\omega^{\prime \prime}\) _list do
            \(\omega^{\prime \prime \prime} \leftarrow z i p 3\left(z i p 4\left(\omega^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)\)
            if \(\ell\left(\omega^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=\ell\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)\) then
                continue
            else
                \(\omega \leftarrow \omega^{\prime \prime \prime}\)
                smaller \(\leftarrow\) True
                break
        if smaller \(=\) False then
            break
    return \(\omega\)
```

In the following theorem, we characterize all incompressible jorbs in $M_{\Gamma}$. We also introduce the set of all subjorbs $z$ of a given jorb $w$ for which the boundary letters of $z$ coincide, that is, $\partial_{-}(z)=\partial_{+}(z)$ (so that $z \sim \bar{z}$, by axiom (1), where $\bar{z}$ denotes reversion of $z$ ). By $R(w)$ we denote the set of jorbs $w_{r}$ that can be obtained from $w$ by reversion of such subjorbs $z$ of $w$. (Note that the length of $w_{r}$ is left unchanged, i.e., $\ell\left(w_{r}\right)=\ell(w)$ for all $w_{r} \in R(w)$.) In other words, the set $R(w)$ is the set of all jorbs that can be obtained from $w$ by applying reversion axiom (1). The set $R(w)$ may be empty.

Theorem 3. (Incompressible jorbs in $M_{\Gamma}$ ) The set of incompressible jorbs in $M_{\Gamma}$ is equal to $\Gamma_{2} \cup G$ where $G$ is the set of jorbs $w \in M_{\Gamma} \backslash \Gamma_{2}$ such that for all possible subjorbs $x$ of jorbs in $\{w\} \cup R(w)$, of length equal to 4, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(x) \neq \delta\left(\partial_{-}(x), \partial_{+}(x)\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is clear that each of the atoms of $M_{\Gamma}$ (i.e., each element of $\Gamma_{2}$ ) is incompressible. Next, it suffices to note that by Theorem 1, condition (15) is equivalent to incompressibility of $x$, since otherwise (i.e., if we had equality in (15), $x$ would be compressible to $\partial_{-}(x) \partial_{+}(x) \in \Gamma_{2}$. Also note that compression axioms (2) and (3) deal only with with subjorbs of $w$ of length at most 4.

Remark 11. For each $w \in M_{\Gamma}$, there exists an incompressible jorb $w_{z i p}$ equivalent to it. Is $w_{z i p}$ uniquely determined by $w$ ? In general, the
answer is no. For example, if $\Gamma=\{0,1,2, \ldots, 9\}$, then for $w=123221$, by using (de)compression axioms (2) and (3), we obtain two different zipped (i.e., maximally compressed) jorbs:

$$
w=1(232) 21 \sim w_{z i p}^{\prime}=1221, \quad w=12(3221) \sim w_{z i p}^{\prime \prime}=1231
$$

Of course, by transitivity we have that $w_{z i p}^{\prime} \sim w_{z i p}^{\prime \prime}$.
In the following lemma, we say that a jorb $w_{z i p}$ is a zipped jorb (or maximally compressed jorb) with respect to a given jorb $w \in M_{\Gamma}$, if $w \sim w_{z i p}$ and $w_{z i p}$ is incompressible.

Lemma 7. (a) If $w_{z i p}^{\prime}$ and $w_{z i p}^{\prime \prime}$ are any two zipped jorbs of a given $w \in M_{\Gamma}$, then $w_{z i p}^{\prime} \sim w_{z i p}^{\prime \prime}$.
(b) Furthermore, $w_{1} \sim w_{2}$ if and only if $w_{1, z i p} \sim w_{2, z i p}$, where $w_{1, z i p}$ is any zipped jorb of $w_{1}$, and $w_{2, z i p}$ is any zipped jorb of $w_{2}$

Proof. (a) Since $w \sim w_{z i p}^{\prime}$ and $w \sim w_{z i p}^{\prime \prime}$, then by symmetry and transitivity of relation ' $\sim$ ', we have that $w_{z i p}^{\prime} \sim w_{z i p}^{\prime \prime}$. Claim (b) follows immediately from (a).

Proposition 5. For any word $w \in M_{\Gamma}$, the corresponding equivalence class $[w] \in \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}$ is infinite.

Proof. Each letter $\alpha$ appearing in $w$ can be decompressed to $\alpha^{2 n+1}$ with arbitrary positive integer $n$, using Eq. (5) in Lemma 2. Hence, if for example $w=\alpha w^{\prime}$, then we have that $\left\{\alpha^{2 n+1} w^{\prime}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subset[w]$.

According to terminology of Šare introduced in [8, p. 19], the set of all words in $M_{\Gamma}$ of minimal length (that is, of length 2), is called the zerobase of the semigroup $M_{\Gamma}$. It coincides with the set of atoms of $M_{\Gamma}$, that is, with $\Gamma_{2}$. Any given word $w \in M_{\Gamma}$ can be obtained as a product of atoms. Moreover, the order of the atoms in the product is uniquely determined by $w$. Each atom is incompressible and idempotent (see the last equivalence in Eq. (5) of Lemma (5).

Remark 12. The set of atoms $\Gamma_{2}$ can be considered as a 'derived' alphabet for the Šare system $M_{\Gamma}$. Note, however, that reversion and compression rules described by Eqs. (1), (2), and (3) are formulated in terms of elements of the primary alphabet $\Gamma$, and not of $\Gamma_{2}$. This is the reason of introducing the set of atoms of the semigroup $M_{\Gamma}$ of jorbs. See also Remark 3. Furthermore, the set $\Gamma_{2}$ is not closed under multiplication, provided $\Gamma$ consists of at least two letters; see Figure 4.

Remark 13. (Open problem) For any even number $k$, find the number $I(n, k)$ of mutually nonequivalent incompressible jorbs in $\Gamma_{k}$, in dependence with prescribed values of an even positive integer $k$ and $n=|\Gamma|$. For example, if $k=2$, then this number is equal to $I(n, 2)=n^{2}$, since all the atoms in $\Gamma_{2}$ are incompressible and mutually nonequivalent. For $k=4$, see Remark 8. If $n=2$, then according to Theorem 2, we have that $I(2, k)=4$ for all $k$.

Remark 14. The Šare sum behaves nicely with respect to the product of jorbs $x$ and $y \in M_{\Gamma}$ (see [8, p. 24]) :

$$
\lambda(x y)=\lambda(x)+\lambda(y)-\delta\left(\partial_{+}(x), \partial_{-}(y)\right)
$$

Here, $\partial_{ \pm}$denote positive and negative boundary functions introduced in Definition 6. Consequently, denoting by $M_{\Gamma}(c)$ the set of all $w \in M_{\Gamma}$ for which $\partial_{-}(w)=\partial_{+}(w)=c$, where $c$ is a fixed letter from the alphabet $\Gamma$, we have that the restriction $\lambda_{c}=\left.\lambda\right|_{M_{\Gamma}(c)}$ is homomorphism of semigroups $\left(M_{\Gamma}(c), \cdot\right)$ and $(\mathbb{Z},+)$, since $\delta(c, c)=0$ :

$$
\lambda_{c}(x y)=\lambda_{c}(x)+\lambda_{c}(y), \quad \text { for all } x, y \in M_{\Gamma}(c) .
$$

Assuming that $[x]=[y]$ (that is, $x \sim y$ ), by Lemma (6) we know that $\lambda(x)=\lambda(y)$. Hence, homomorphism $\lambda_{c}$ induces a homomorphism $\tilde{\lambda}_{c}$ : $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}(c) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, defined by $\tilde{\lambda}_{c}([x])=\lambda(x)$, where $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}(c)=\{[x]: x \in$ $\left.M_{\Gamma}(c)\right\}$. In other words, $\left.\tilde{\lambda}_{c}([x][y])\right]=\tilde{\lambda}_{c}([x])+\tilde{\lambda}_{c}([y])$ for all $[x],[y] \in$ $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}(c)$.

## 5 Homomorphisms between Šare systems and Šare's categories

In this section we define homomorphisms between Šare semigroups, as well as between the corresponding quotient semigroups. We also introduce the associated cannonical Šare semigroups. They induce the corresponding categories, that we briefly describe.

### 5.1 Homomorphisms, embeddings and isomorphisms between Šare systems

Assume that two Šare systems $M_{\Gamma_{1}}$ and $M_{\Gamma_{2}}$ are generated by two finite and well ordered alphabets $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$. A function $f: M_{\Gamma_{1}} \rightarrow M_{\Gamma_{2}}$ is said to be homomrphism of Sare systems if $f(x y)=f(x) f(y)$ for all $x, y \in M_{\Gamma_{1}}$, and if $x_{1} \sim x_{2}$ in $M_{\Gamma_{1}}$ then $f\left(x_{1}\right) \sim f\left(x_{2}\right)$ in $M_{\Gamma_{2}}$, for any pair $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ (or, equivalently, $\left[x_{1}\right]=\left[x_{2}\right]$ implies that $\left.\left[f\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=\left[f\left(x_{2}\right)\right]\right)$.

It generates in a natural way a homomorphism $\tilde{f}: \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{1}} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{2}}$ of corresponding quotient semigroups, defined by $\tilde{f}([x])=[f(x)]$.

If $\tilde{f}$ is a monomorphism of quotient semigroups, then we say that $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{1}}$ is embedded into $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{2}}$ via $\tilde{f}$, whereby we identify $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{1}}$ with $\tilde{f}\left(\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{1}}\right)$, which is a subsemigroup of $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{2}}$. In this case we can write $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{1}} \leq \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{2}}$.

The following diagram is commutative, in which $\pi_{j}: M_{\Gamma_{j}} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{j}}$ are the canonical projections, defined by $\pi_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)=\left[x_{j}\right]$, for $x_{j} \in M_{\Gamma_{j}}$ and $j=1,2$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{\Gamma_{1}} & f_{1} & M_{\Gamma_{2}} \\
\downarrow_{1} & & \downarrow^{\pi_{2}} \\
\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{1}} & \\
\tilde{f}_{1} & \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{2}}
\end{array}
$$

Analogously for epimorphisms and isomorphisms. It is clear that the two quotient Sare systems are isomorphic if and only if the corresponding alphabets are equipotent. If the quotient semigroups $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{1}}$ and $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{2}}$ are isomorphic, we write $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{1}} \simeq \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{2}}$.

Any monotone function $f_{0}: \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{2}$ (monotone with respect to well orderings in the alphabets) induces in a natural way a homomorphism $f: M_{\Gamma_{1}} \rightarrow M_{\Gamma_{2}}$ defined by $f\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{k}\right)=f_{0}\left(a_{1}\right) \ldots f_{0}\left(a_{k}\right)$ for all jorbs $a_{1} \ldots a_{k} \in \Gamma_{k}$ and for all even positive integers $k$. Monotonicity is needed because of (de)compression axiom (3). It is clear that

$$
\tilde{f}\left(\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{1}}\right)=\widetilde{M}_{f_{0}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)}
$$

where $f_{0}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ is the corresponding subalphabet of $\Gamma_{2}$. And vice versa: any homomorphism $\tilde{f}: \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{1}} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{2}}$ is generated by uniquely determined monotone function $f_{0}: \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{2}$. (Recall that the alphabets are assumed to be well ordered.) If the function $f_{0}$ is strictly monotone, then the corresponding function $\tilde{f}$ is monomorphic, and we have that $\widetilde{M}_{f_{0}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)} \leq \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{2}}$.

Assume that $\left|\Gamma_{1}\right|=\left|\Gamma_{2}\right|=n$. Then, there are precisely two different isomorphisms $\tilde{f}: \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{1}} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{2}}$, generated by one increasing and one decreasing bijection $f_{0}: \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{2}$. More generally, we have the following result.

Theorem 4. Assume that $\left|\Gamma_{1}\right|=n_{1},\left|\Gamma_{2}\right|=n_{2}$. If $n_{1} \leq n_{2}$, then there are precisely $2\binom{n_{2}}{n_{1}}$ monomrphisms $\tilde{f}: \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{1}} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{2}}$. For any two positive
integers $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$, the number of all homomorphisms $\tilde{f}: \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{1}} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{2}}$ is equal to $2\binom{n_{1}+n_{2}-1}{n_{1}}-n_{2}$.

Proof. To each subset of $n_{1}$ elements of $\Gamma_{2}$, we can assign uniquely determined (strictly) increasing function $f_{0}: \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{2}$ with an image equal to this subset. Therefore the number of increasing functions $f_{0}$ is equal to $\binom{n_{2}}{n_{1}}$. Analogously for the number of decreasing functions $f_{0}$. Since each such function $f_{0}$ generates a monomorphism (and all of the monomorphisms are of this form), the first claim follows.

To prove the second claim, we first find the number of nondecreasing functions $f_{0}: \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{2}$. Given such $f_{0}$, let $m_{k}$ be the cardinality of the $f_{0}$-preimage of the $k$-th element in $\Gamma_{2}$, where $k=1, \ldots, n_{2}$. Then $m_{1}+\cdots+m_{n_{2}}=n_{1}$. The number of nonegative integer solutions $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n_{2}}\right)$ of this equation is equal to the number of combinations with repetition, $\binom{n_{1}+n_{2}-1}{n_{1}}$, and this is the number of homomorphisms $\tilde{f}: \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{1}} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\Gamma_{2}}$ generated by all nondecreasing functions $f_{0}$. The number of functions $\tilde{f}$ generated by nonincreasing functions $f_{0}$ is the same, and the second claim follows. (Remark that constant functions $f_{0}$ were counted twice.)

We also introduce cannonical Šare system $M_{n}$ defined as the Šare system generated by the usual numerical alphabet $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, that is, $M_{n}:=M_{\{1, \ldots, n\}}$. It is clear that $M_{\Gamma} \simeq M_{n}$ if and only if $|\Gamma|=n$. By $\widetilde{M}_{n}$ we denote the corresponding cannonical quotient Šare systme, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We have the natural embedding $i_{n}: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{n+1}$, generated by the increasing injective map $i_{n}:\{1, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, n, n+1\}$, where $i_{n}(j)=$ $j$ for all $j=1, \ldots, n$. In this way, we obtain an infinite sequence of naturally embedded Šare systems,

$$
M_{1} \leq M_{2} \leq \cdots \leq M_{n} \leq M_{n+1} \leq \ldots
$$

Analogously, each induced map $\tilde{i}_{n}: \widetilde{M}_{n} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{n+1}$ is a natural embedding of $\widetilde{M}_{n}$ into $\widetilde{M}_{n+1}$, so that we have an infinite sequence of subsemigroups:

$$
\widetilde{M}_{1} \leq \widetilde{M}_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \widetilde{M}_{n} \leq \widetilde{M}_{n+1} \leq \ldots
$$

## 5.2 Šare's categories

We introduce the $\check{S}$ are category, denoted by $\check{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{a}(M)$, the objects of which are Šare systems $M_{\Gamma}$ for all possible well ordered finite alphabets $\Gamma$, while the morphisms between the objects are homomorphisms between Šare
 consists of all possible quotient semigroups of the form $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}$ as objects (for all possible well ordered finite alphabets $\Gamma$ ), and of all possible homomorphisms between them as morphisms. The associated two cannonical Šare subcategories of $\check{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{a}(M)$ and $\breve{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{a}(\widetilde{M})$ are denoted by $\check{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{a}(M, \mathbb{N})$ and $\check{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{a}(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{N})$, respectively. All of them are subcategories of the category $\mathbf{S}$ of all semigroups:

$$
\check{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{a}(M, \mathbb{N}) \subset \check{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{a}(M) \subset \mathbf{S}, \quad \check{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{a}(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{N}) \subset \check{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{a}(\widetilde{M}) \subset \mathbf{S}
$$

We can easily build covariant functors between Šare categories. For example, a natural covariant functor $F: \check{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{a}(M, \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{a}(M, \mathbb{N})$ that we name Šare's functor, consisting of the sequence $\left(\pi_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ of projections between the corresponding objects, as well as of the sequence $\left(f_{n}, \tilde{f}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ of ordered pairs of the corresponding morphisms (i.e., homomorphisms between Šare systems), is indicated in the following commutative diagram:


### 5.3 Final remarks

In this paper, we have dealt with the set $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ of three axioms consisting of reversion (1) and two (de)compressions (2) and (3). It generated the Sare system $M_{\Gamma}=M_{\Gamma}\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}\right)$ and the quotient system $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}=\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}\right)$. In future applications, for some particular classes of problems, it is possible to envisige a different finite set $\mathcal{A}$ of axioms on $M_{\Gamma}$, yielding a new Šare system $M_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A})$ and the corresponding quotient system $\widetilde{M}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{A})$, as well as the associated Šare categories $\check{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{a}(M, \mathcal{A})$ and $\check{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{a}(\widetilde{M}, \mathcal{A})$.

## 6 Appendix

The 24 cases indicated in the proof of Theorem 1 (corresponding to its sufficiency part $(a)$ ) are discussed here. We note that their number can be further reduced by half, since the (de)compression axioms (2) and (3) are symmetric in the sense that if, for example, we reverse the order of letters appearing in $\alpha \beta \alpha$, nothing is changed. Hence, Case 1 corresponding to $a \leq b \leq c \leq d$ is equivalent to the case with reverse
order, i.e., $d \leq c \leq b \leq a$, etc. Therefore, it suffices consider the 12 cases corresponding to $a \leq \ldots$ and $b \leq \ldots$ only, since the cases of the form $b \leq \ldots$ and $d \leq \ldots$ have their symmetric counterparts among the preceding two cases.

Case 1. Assume that $a \leq b \leq c \leq d$. See Case 1 in the proof of Theorem 1 ,

Case 2. Assume that $a \leq b \leq d \leq c$. Condition in (9) reduces to $b=c$, hence, $a b c d \sim a b b d \sim a d$, since $b$ is between $a$ and $d$ (or, from $b \leq d \leq c$ it follows that $b=d=c$, so that $a b c d=a d^{3} \sim a d$, by Lemma 22.
Case 3. Assume that $a \leq c \leq b \leq d$. See Case 3 in the proof of Theorem 1 .

Case 4. Assume that $a \leq c \leq d \leq b$. Condition in (9) reduces to $0=0$. From $d \in[c, b]$ (in the first equivalence) and $d \in[a, b]$ (in the third equivalence), by using decompression formula (3), we obtain that

$$
a b c d \sim a b d^{2} c d \sim a b d^{2} \sim a d^{2} b d^{2}=a d(d b d) d \sim a d^{3} \sim a d
$$

Case 5. Assume that $a \leq d \leq b \leq c$. Condition in (9) reduces to $b=d$. Hence, $a b c d \sim a d c d \sim a d$.
Case 6. Assume that $a \leq d \leq c \leq b$. Condition in (9) reduces to $c=d$, so that (since $d \in[a, b]$, using decompression property (3) in the second equality below, and then compression property (2) in the last equivalence),

$$
a b c d=a b d d \sim a d d b d d=a d(d b d) d \sim a d^{3} \sim a d
$$

Case 7. Assume that $b \leq a \leq c \leq d$. See Case 2 in the proof of Theorem 1

Case 8. Assume that $b \leq a \leq d \leq c$. Condition in (9) reduces to $a=d$. Since $a \in[b, c]$, we have that (see decompression property (2), used in the equivlanece below)

$$
a b c d=a b c a \sim a b a a c a=(a b a)(a c a) \sim a a=a d
$$

Case 9. Assume that $b \leq c \leq a \leq d$. Condition in (9) reduces to $a=c$, so that $a b c d=a b a d \sim a d$.

Case 10. Assume that $b \leq c \leq d \leq a$. Condition in (9) reduces to $d=c$, so that

$$
a b c d=a b d^{2} \sim a d^{2} b d^{2}=a d(d b d) d \sim a d^{3} \sim a d
$$

where we have used that $d \in[b, a]$, along with decompression property (3) and Lemma 2 .

Case 11. Assume that $b \leq d \leq a \leq c$. Condition in (9) reduces to $0=0$. Since $d \in[b, c]$ (used in the first equality, along with decompression property (3)) and $d \in[b, a]$ (used in the second equality), we have that

$$
a b c d=a b d^{2} c d \sim a b d d \sim a d^{2} b d^{2}=a d(d b d) d \sim a d^{3} \sim a d
$$

Case 12. Assume that $b \leq d \leq c \leq a$. Condition in (9) reduces to $0=0$. Since $d \in[b, c]$, using decompression property (3), and then using $d \in[b, a]$, we obtain that

$$
a b c d=a b d^{2} c d=a b d^{2} \sim a d^{2} b d^{2}=a d(d b d) d \sim a d^{3} \sim a d
$$
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